

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,506	05/02/2005	Bijo Thomas	IN 020004	4348
24737 7590 02/05/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			PHAN, DEAN	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2182	· ·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/533,506	THOMAS, BIJO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dean Phan	2182			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No	ovember 2006.				
,—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 November 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In response to the amendments filed on 11/09/2006, claims 1-11 are examined. No claims were cancelled or added. The objection of the specification and drawings are withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/09/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As to claim 1, the Applicant referred the phase "independent switching unit monitors..." in page 2 lines 2-6 as a novel feature but did not put it into the claim. Regarding to claim 1, the Applicant claimed "repetitions of a period of an address pattern" and "in response to the detection of a new of said repetitions". In fact, Humphrey does teach the detecting "repetitions of a period of an address pattern" and "in response to the detection of a new of said repetitions" (c.10 I. 65-c. 11 I. 7). Humphrey uses a 24-bit address as an example in the reference. The Examiner consider 20-rightmost-bit part an address pattern and 4-leftmost-bit part as a period of an address pattern (*corresponding to 16 memory banks*). The comparator 280 detects the repetition of the 4 leftmost digits to generate a signal to the chip select decoder which, in turn, enables a memory bank for data transfer.

As to claim 11, the Applicant claimed "detecting repetition of periods of access address patterns..." and switching selectable connections... All the same elements are

Art Unit: 2182

listed in Claim 1 but in method form rather than system form. Therefore, the supporting rationale of the rejection to Claim 1 applies equally as well to Claim 11.

It is concluded that the features upon which the Applicant relies (i.e., "independent switching unit monitors...") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1, 3-4, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Humphrey et al, (US Pat. 4,933,846).

As to claim 1, Humphrey et al. teach a data processing apparatus (abstract), comprising:

a plurality of data processing units (Abstract, figure 1 element "110", "112", "114", "116" and "118") each having an address output and a data input and/or output (Figure 1 element "102", "104", "106", "108", Column 4 line 15-24);

Art Unit: 2182

a plurality of memory units (Figure 3 element "204", "206", column 4 line 26-28), each having an address input and a data input and/or output (Figure 3 element "102", "104");

a switching unit (Figure 1 element "100", column 10 line 28-31) comprising:

first selectable connections between the data input and/or outputs of the processing units (Figure 1 element "102" "104", column 4 line 15-24) and selectable ones of the data input and/or outputs of the memory units (Figure 4a element "102" "104"),

second selectable connections from the address outputs of the processing units (Figure 1 element "102" "104", column 4 line 15-24) to the address inputs of selectable ones of the memory units (Figure 4a element "102" "104"),

a detection unit (Figure 4a element "280" "288") coupled to the address outputs of the processing units, arranged to detect repetitions of a period of an address pattern output by the at least one of the processing units (column 10 line 58-65),

a state holding element (Figure 4a element "284" "290") for controlling the first and second selectable connections, the state holding element (22a, 34) having an input coupled to the detection unit (Figure 4a element "283"), in order to switch the first and second selectable connections in response to the detection of a new one of said repetitions, so that identical addresses from the data processing units (*The physical addresses on each bank are identical*) alternately map to different ones of the memory units during successive repetitions.

Art Unit: 2182

As to claim 3, Humphrey et al. teach a data processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the detection unit comprises an address comparator (Figure 4a element "280" "288") arranged to detect whether addresses from the address output of a first one of the data processing units fall in a range of one or more addresses associated with the memory units (column 11 line 1-5), and to generate a detection signal indicating the new one of said repetitions (column 11 line 5-7) each time when one of the addresses from the address output of the first one of the data processing units has output addresses in said range a certain number of times.

As to claim 4, Humphrey et al. teach a data processing apparatus according to claim 3, wherein said certain number is one (column 11 line 1-5), and wherein said range is a subset of one or more of the addresses associated with the memory units (column 10 line 66-column 11 line 5; *There are 16 subsets in this disclosure's example*).

As to claim 9, Humphrey et al. teach a data processing unit according to claim 1, wherein said plurality of memory units comprises three or more memory units (column 9 line 63-65, column 10 line 66-column 11 line 5), the state holding element controlling the switching of the first and second selectable connections (Figure 4a element "284" "290"), so that identical addresses from the data processing units cyclically map to different ones of three or more of the memory units (column 9 line 63-65; *The physical addresses of each bank are identical*) during successive repetitions.

As to claim 10, Humphrey et al. teach a data processing unit according to claim 1, wherein the detection unit is arranged to perform the detection of repetitions

Application/Control Number: 10/533,506 Page 6

Art Unit: 2182

involving repetition of read and/or write control signals from at least one of the processing units (column 11 line 8-24; *To read or to write data from bank 1 or 2, the chip selects must receive a signal from the decode circuitry*).

As to claim 11, Humphrey et al. teach a data processing method, the method comprising: detecting repetition of periods of access address patterns output from at least one of a plurality of processing units (Figure 4a element "280" "288", column 11 line 1-5); switching selectable connections between the data input and/or outputs of the processing units and the data input and/or outputs of selectable ones of a plurality of memory units (Figure 4a element "284" "290", column 11 line 5-7), so that a same addresses from at least one of the plurality of processing units alternately addresses a location in different ones of the memory units in dependence on the detection of said repetition (*The comparator generates a signal based on the uppermost bits, the physical addresses of each bank are identical*).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2182

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humphrey et al. (US Pat. No. 4,933,846), in the view of Nakagawa (U.S. Pat 4,939,636).

As to claim 2, Humphrey et al. teach a data processing apparatus according to claim 1. Humphrey et al. do not teach a criterion for detecting the new one of the repetitions is programmable under the control of a program executed by the apparatus. However, in the same field of data processing (column 1 line 14-16), Nakagawa et al. teach a criterion for detecting the new one of the repetitions is programmable under the control of a program executed by the apparatus (column 6 line 13-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Humphrey et al. and Nakagawa et al. because that would improve the flexibility of the switch unit.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humphrey et al. (US Pat. No. 4,933,846), in the view of Kobayashi et al. (U.S. Pat 4,583,163).

As to claim 5, Humphrey et al. teach a data processing apparatus according to claim 3 and the said certain number is greater than one. Humphrey et al. do not teach the apparatus comprising a counter for counting a counted number of the addresses from the address output of the first one of the data processing units in said range at least until said certain number. However, in the same field of data processing (Kobayashi abstract), Kobayashi teach the apparatus comprising a counter for counting a counted number of the addresses from the address output of the first one of the data

Art Unit: 2182

processing units in said range at least until said certain number (column 4 line 29-34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Humphrey et al. and Kobayashi et al. because that would be

"... capable of transferring data whose address is larger than a maximum address of a plurality of memory blocks constituting a main memory, even if the maximum memory address of the main memory is not set in an end address register."

(Column 2 line 20-25)

Claim 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humphrey et al. (US Pat. No# 4,933,846), in the view of Sukegawa et al. (U.S. Pat 5,673,383).

As to claim 6, Humphrey et al. teach a data processing apparatus according to claim 1. Humphrey et al. do not teach the detection unit comprises an access memory for the at least one of the data processing units the access memory. However, in the same field of memory management (Sukegawa [abstract]), Sukegawa et al. teach the detection unit (Figure 12 element "4D", column 10 line 1-6) comprises an access memory for the at least one of the data processing units the access memory comprising locations for a plurality of the addresses that address locations in the memory units (Figure 15 element "10" "11A-C", column 11 line 23-53) that are addressable by the first one of the data processing units, the access memory being arranged to record access to the locations in the memory units (Figure 2A element "10", column 4 line 32-39), the

Art Unit: 2182

detection unit being arranged to generate a detection signal indicating the new repetition in dependence (Column 11 line 45-53, column 13 line 17-24) on whether the access memory indicates that an address supplied by the first one of the processing units has been supplied before during the repetition.

As to claim 7, Humphrey et al. do not teach the detection unit generates the detection signal when the at least one of the data processing units outputs an address for which the access memory has previously recorded access after a previous detection of said new repetition. However, in the same field of data processing, Sukegawa et al. teach the detection unit generates the detection signal when the at least one of the data processing units outputs an address for which the access memory has previously recorded access after a previous detection of said new repetition (Figure 3, column 5 line 46-48).

As to claim 8, Humphrey et al. do not teach the detection unit generates the detection signal when the at least one of the data processing units has executed more than a certain number of addresses for which the access memory indicates that the address has not been supplied previously during the repetition (Figure 3, column 5 line 42-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Humphrey et al. and Sukegawa et al. because that would:

"Conventionally, because the host system must perform the address allocation management, the system without address

Art Unit: 2182

allocation management software cannot handle such a memory system, thus leading to lack of universality as mentioned above." (Sukegawa, column 2 line 3-7)

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean Phan whose telephone number is (571) 270-1002. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu; 9:30AM - 5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,506 Page 11

Art Unit: 2182

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DP

KIM HUYNH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

1/29/07